PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: Johnstone, Douglas lan BARON & WARREN 19 South End, Kensingto	BARON & WARREN • RECEIVED 2 5 0CT 2004						N	•
London W8 5BU	一	KEW	1 8		1)	PASC	R	ክ
GRANDE BRETAGNE	Г	APW	\prod			AOC	$ m I\! N$	V
	Г	2608	Tī	Mrs	7	MR	$ brack { m I}$	
	F	OMP. DI	ARY N	la.				Γ

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

25.10.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference MR/38023

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/GB 03/02771

International filing date (day/month/year) 27.06.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

28.06.2002

Applicant

ALPHA THAMES LTD

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl

Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Ter Haar, H

Tel. +31 70 340-3817





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

ation and IPC s been prepared by this ng to Article 36.	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.06.2002 International Preliminary Examining
s been prepared by this	International Preliminary Examining
s been prepared by this ng to Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining
s been prepared by this ng to Article 36.	International Preliminary Examining
ing this cover sheet.	•
t and/or sheets containir	ription, claims and/or drawings which have ng rectifications made before this Authority der the PCT).
ing items:	
the manual to the constitution of the	and the december of the second section is
to noveity, inventive ste	ep and industrial applicability
ii) with regard to novelty	, inventive step or industrial applicability;
ation	
application	
Date of completion of	f this report
25.10.2004	•
Authorized Officer	agulutehas Patantany
van Berlo. A	
•	70 240 2525
i i	t and/or sheets containing istrative Instructions und ingressing items: Ito novelty, inventive states ii) with regard to novelty she statement ation application Date of completion of 25.10.2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02771

 Basis of the re

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages	
	1-6		as originally filed
	Cla	ims, Numbers	
	1-9		filed with telefax on 24.09.2004
	Dra	wings, Sheets	
	1/4-		as originally filed
2.	Witl lang	n regard to the langu guage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The		ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of publ	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under
3.	With	n regard to any nucl e rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
			rnational application in written form.
		filed together with th	ne international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.
		in the international a	the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the listing has been furn	the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence nished.
4.	The	amendments have r	resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02771

5. 🗆	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-9

. . . .

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- The following documents are referred to in this communication: 1.
 - D1: EP-A-0.201 263 (MOBIL NORTH SEA LTD) 12 Nov. 1986 (1986-11-12)
 - D2: WO 01/87453 A (TVEITEN MAGNAR ;KELLOGG BROWN & ROOT INC (US)) 22 Nov. 2001 (2001-11-22)
- The document D2, which is considered to be the closest prior art, discloses in 2. particular in page 4 line 2-5, page 8 line 18-20, page 9 line 17 to page 10 line 8 and figures 1-5 (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A system (100) for removing particulates from water, comprising separating means (130) for removing particulates from water, and pumping means (144) downstream from the separating means for drawing water upstream of the separating means into the separating means, characterised in that the separating means comprises dynamic separating means comprising a hydrocyclone (page 4, line 3) and the system further includes means (133) for collecting particulates separated from said water by the dynamic separating means, means (136) for removing collected particulates from the particulate collecting means.

The system of D2 differs from the subject-matter of claim 1 by the fact that a) claim 1 is aimed at an underwater hydrocarbon reservoir water injection system rather than a system for the disposal of drilling solids,

- b) in claim 1 the system draws in surrounding water, which is not the case in D2,
- c) the system of claim 1 is incorporated into a retrievable module for use with a modular seabed processing system,
- d) the system of claim 1 contains means for directing at least some of the at least substantially particulate free water from the dynamic separating means to the particulate removal means to enable the particulate removal means (7,32) to remove collected particulates and eject them into water surrounding the module.
- The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT). 2.1 Aspect a) and b), both part of the preamble of the independent system claim are known in the art, see for example D1. Aspect c), i.e. incorporation into a retrievable module is considered to be trivial to



- the skilled man in the art.
- The remaining problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as:
- Removing collected particulates (page 2, line 29 to page 3, line 2)
- 2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:
 - By directing some particulate free water from the dynamic separating means to the particulate removal means to eject the collected particulates into surrounding water, the power available from the dynamic separating means is used to remove collected particulates, thereby avoiding the need of a separate system to remove collected particulates.
- Claims 2-7 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of 2.3 the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 2.4 Since the subject-matter of independent method claim 8 corresponds to the subject-matter of claim 1, the same reasoning as given for claim 1 will apply mutatis mutandis. Therefore claim 8 also meets the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 2.5 Claim 9 is dependent on claim 8 and as such also meets the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

OTHER REMARKS

No documents reflecting the prior art, such as D1 and D2, are identified in the description (Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT).